

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT KNOXVILLE

ROBERT T. STOOKSBURY, JR.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 3:09-CV-498-TAV-HBG
)	
MICHAEL L. ROSS, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This case is before the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Rules of this Court, and the referral of the Chief District Judge. The Receiver has filed his Thirty-Second Application for Interim Compensation and Expense Reimbursement [Doc. 1465].

The Receiver moves the Court to approve payment to the Receiver for the reasonable and necessary services he rendered between January 1 and January 31, 2015, in the amount of \$14,328.32, which represents \$14,175.00 in fees and \$153.32 in direct expenses. The Receiver also requests that the Court authorize reimbursement in the amount of \$186.30 to the law firm of Bunnell & Wolfe, for services rendered pursuant to Invoice 357526.

The Receiver represents that as of January 31, 2015, the Receiver was in possession of \$591,307.18. The Receiver also maintains a separate rent account, which he represents has a balance of \$158,049.16, as of January 31, 2015.

With the instant request, the Receiver has submitted an Invoice of Services rendered in January 2015, detailing the time spent on various tasks in furtherance of the duties established by the Court. [Doc. 1465-2]. He has also submitted invoices for the same period, detailing the out-of-pocket expenses the Receiver incurred in furtherance of these duties. [Doc. 1465-3]. Finally,

he has submitted Invoice 357526, from the law firm of Bunnell & Wolfe. [Doc. 1465-1]. The Court has reviewed the invoices and finds that they are reasonable.

The Receiver's Thirty-Second Application was filed February 5, 2015, and his request and the supporting invoices have been available for review to the parties. No party or interested person has objected to the compensation and expenses submitted. The time for doing so has expired. See, generally, E.D. Tenn. L.R. 7.1. The Court may treat this failure to respond as acquiescence to the relief sought. See E.D. Tenn. L.R. 7.2.

Accordingly, the Court finds that the Receiver's Thirty-Second Application [Doc. 1465] is well-taken, and the undersigned **RECOMMENDS**¹ that it be **GRANTED**. The Court finds the compensation and expenses requested by the Receiver are **REASONABLE** and **RECOMMENDS** that they be **APPROVED**. The undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that the Receiver be directed to **DISBURSE: \$14,328.32**, requested as compensation for services and reimbursement for expenses for the period from January 1 to January 31, 2015; and **\$186.30** to the law firm of Bunnell & Wolfe, for services rendered pursuant to Invoice 357526

Respectfully Submitted,


United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be served and filed within fourteen (14) days after service of a copy of this recommended disposition on the objecting party. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Such objections must conform to the requirements of Rule 72(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Failure to file objections within the time specified waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 106 S. Ct. 466 (1985). The district court need not provide de novo review where objections to this report and recommendation are frivolous, conclusive or general. Mira v. Marshall, 806 F.2d 636 (6th Cir. 1986). Only specific objections are reserved for appellate review. Smith v. Detroit Federation of Teachers, 829 F.2d 1370 (6th Cir. 1987).